

[annual_report_2000.pdf](#). However, recently, their government-related grants have dropped to about 15% from their latest 2012 annual report, available on their Web site (see p. 8, in Chinese though): http://www.cloudgate.org.tw/cg/about/images/annual_report_2012.pdf.

5. In my telephone interview with company director Chang, she said that the original motivation for Yu's piece came from the tales of the "Red Shoes" and "Little Red Riding Hood," but the end product took a different path.

Works Cited

- Chen, Ya-Ping. 2011. *Enquiry into Subjectivity: Modernity, History, Taiwan Contemporary Dance*. Taipei, China: Taipei National University of the Arts Press (In Chinese).
- Jiang, Dong. 2007. *Contemporary Chinese Dance*. Beijing, China: New Star Press.
- Kwan, Sansan. 2003. "Choreographing Chineseness: Global Cities and the Performance of Ethnicity." PhD dissertation. New York University.
- Lin, Yatin. 1994. "The Legacy of Cloud Gate Dance Theatre's *Legacy*: A Nationalistic Interpretation Based on Laban Movement Analysis." Master's thesis. York University, Toronto, Canada.
- . 2004. "Choreographing a Flexible Identity: Cloud Gate Dance Theatre of Taiwan's Changing Repertoire, 1973–2003." Ph.D. dissertation. University of California, Riverside.
- . 2010. "Choreographing a Flexible Taiwan: Cloud Gate Dance Theatre and Taiwan's Changing Identity." In *Routledge Dance Studies Reader*, (2nd edition), edited by Alexandra Carter and Janet O'Shea (250–60). New York: Routledge.
- . 2012. "Roots and Routes of Cloud Gate Dance Theatre's *Nine Songs* (1993)." In *Identity and Diversity: Celebrating Dance in Taiwan*, edited by Wang Yunyu and Stephanie Burrige (147–60). New Delhi, India, and Abingdon, Oxford, UK: Routledge.
- . 2014. "Choreographing Multiple Corporealities in the Sinophone World." *Korean Society of Dance Journal* 71(6): 293–303.
- Lo, Wai-luk. 2010. *Dance Writings*. Hong Kong: International Association of Theatre Critics (In Chinese).

Lu, Yuh-jen. 2002. "Wrestling with the Angels: Choreographing Chinese Diaspora in the United States (1930s–1990s)." PhD dissertation. New York University.

Seetoo, Chiayi. 2013. "The Political Kinesthetics of Contemporary Dance: Taiwan in Transnational Perspective." PhD dissertation. University of California, Berkeley.

Shih, Shu-mei. 2007. *Visuality and Identity: Sinophone Articulations Across the Pacific*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.

Shih, Shu-mei, Chien-Hsin Tsai, and Brian Bernards, eds. 2013. *Sinophone Studies: A Critical Reader*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Dancing the New World: Aztecs, Spaniards, and the Choreography of Conquest

by Paul Scolieri. 2013. Austin: University of Texas Press, 205 pp., illustrations, appendixes, index, bibliography. \$55.00 cloth.
doi:10.1017/S0149767714000114

When most people, including scholars, picture the initial encounters and subsequent interactions between the colonizing Europeans and Native Americans, they most likely would not think of dance as a crucial part of such exchanges. Because of the devalued place that dance, and by the same token music and other expressive practices, have had in Euro-American societies and in the dominant scholarship, the importance of these practices has been overlooked. However, fields such as performance studies, dance studies, and ethnomusicology have demonstrated why these practices deserve serious attention. Situated at the core of both performance and dance studies, Paul Scolieri's *Dancing the New World* provides us with a new lens for scrutinizing not only the early interactions between Spaniards and Aztecs, but also the key role of dance in Aztec ritual pre- and post-conquest.

Images and notions about the so-called "encounter" between Europeans and Native Americans (Scolieri uses the term "Indians" as per the sources) are powerful arenas within which racial stereotypes and power relations have been sustained. According to Diana

Taylor, Scolieri's professor and obvious influence, in the Americas, this encounter has become a crucial "scenario," "a paradigmatic setup that relies on supposedly live participants, structured around a schematic plot, with an intended (though adaptable) end" (Taylor 2003, 13). In *Dancing the New World*, Scolieri problematizes the scenario of "discovery" by bringing dance back into the picture and thus showing the complexity of those early interactions. In fact, dance was in the images, descriptions, and documents all along; it was simply overlooked, deemed unimportant, or misunderstood.

As generations of scholars have highlighted, Scolieri shows that chroniclers' recordings of the encounters in Europe and in the Americas (even though some never set foot on the Americas) were plagued with their own prejudices, desires, and expectations. Nevertheless, Scolieri has been able to illuminate the rich material documenting dance in a new light to give us a strong sense of how this practice mediated and shaped the interactions and how it was essential in Aztec (Mexico) ritual and therefore society. Even though paying attention to dance in order to study early and later colonial interactions between evangelizers and indigenous peoples is not an entirely new project (Ares Queija 1984; Poole 1990) Scolieri's methodological and theoretical depth and detail are unique. On the other hand, scholars have paid more attention to the role of music in colonial society, as Scolieri recognizes for the case of Mexico. However, the author does not seem to be aware of even more recent and elaborate work of this kind for the Andes (e.g., Baker 2008; Estenssoro 2003). Perhaps Scolieri's arguments would have been strengthened if he had used these studies in a comparative light to show the complexities and evolution of the interactions between some sectors of the native population and the colonizers as they forged a new society.

Dancing the New World is proof that the fields of performance and dance studies are pushing us effectively to revise canons and paradigms within which we understand historical events and social relations. Even further, it effectively invites the reader to adopt, in the words of Diana Taylor, a new "way of knowing" to apply a new "episteme" that privileges the fact that "we learn and transmit knowledge through embodied action, through cultural

agency, and by making choices" (Taylor 2003, xvi). Scolieri does exactly this, as he takes the reader through a fascinating journey where we can witness how the embodied practice of dance is (and was during early contact and colonization) a crucial site of knowledge and memory and also of contention, accommodation, or just plain violence. One of the most fascinating parts of the book, and where we clearly appreciate Scolieri's contributions, is his examination of the "Massacre at the Festival of Toxcatl." The massacre, as Scolieri points out, precipitated a fifteen-month physical conflict that was thoroughly documented with "visual and written descriptions of the [ensuing] violence that the Spanish enacted on the Mexica dancers, musicians, and spectators" (91). Arguing that sixteenth-century interlocutors "deployed Mexica dancers as a way of organizing, interpreting, and expressing their visions of the massacre and the conquest by extension" (94), Scolieri scrutinizes three positions among those interlocutors. These are the accounts found in the documents and letters produced by conquistadors who "invoke the 'violent' Aztec dancers in order to memorialize their heroic presence in the New World"; the writings of the Dominican missionaries Barolomé de Las Casas and Diego Durán, who wanted to sustain their views of the "greedy and torturous Spaniards and innocent and noble Indians" (94); and indigenous accounts "created by descendants of indigenous nobility or native scribes at the behest of Spanish missionaries" who "willfully blend myth and history to make order of a new political reality" (114), and who contributed to the fact that dancing became "an increasingly important vehicle for imagining the prequest past" (123).

Dance-dramas, most often performed in the context of folk Catholic celebrations, have much importance throughout not only Hispanic America but also in the United States Southwest. The theme of the confrontations and conflicts generated by the encounter of conquerors and conquered, Spanish and Indians, good and evil, Whites and Indians, take on specific meanings and mediate local conflicts and processes that are engaged with national and transnational phenomena such as the formation of national identities, tourism, and mass media. We know, then, that these public expressive forms constitute a powerful arena for

negotiation and contention. As Scolieri shows, dance was essential in the lives of Native Americans, and it was also important for Spaniards, as made clear by the many sources he presents. But what Scolieri illustrates with great depth is that dance took on even a more enhanced role in colonial, and I would add post-colonial, society precisely because dance became a privileged medium through which members of that society could embody, re-member, and re-imagine the violent encounter of the conquest, and the desires for an ideal or alternative order. Another, more recent phenomenon, mostly twentieth century and linked to transnational processes such as tourism, has re-energized the importance of these practices in the Americas by classifying them as “folklore” and making them once again a terrain for disputed or/and idealized recreations of identities.

But why is dance, in particular ritual dance, such a powerful embodied action that is often used, rejected, or negotiated in many social situations? This question may have various and lengthy answers beyond the scope of this review. However, based on the material provided by Scolieri about the role of dance in Aztec rituals, we are encouraged to look for answers in the relationship between human ritual movement and how the members of a society conceive the order of the world and the forces that control it. Chapter 3 of *Dancing the New World*, in fact, contributes to understanding the crucial role that human movement, in particular dance, has in ritual everywhere. Scolieri carefully examines Bernardino de Sahagún’s *Florentine Codex*, the finest and most complete descriptions of human sacrifice rituals among the Mexica. We learn that human movement performed in ritual, particularly in the form of dance, ties directly to how the Aztecs conceived the relationship between the celestial and the terrestrial realms. Moreover, the movements that were part of human sacrifice ceremonies were closely tied to heavenly movements.

Scolieri’s demonstration of the importance given by Aztecs to human ritualized movement should point us toward exploring the senses. While the senses have been left out of most social scientific and humanistic research, the study of kinesthesia, the sense of movement, has been marginalized even more so (Potter 2008; Sklar 2007). Some studies in the fields

of religion and ritual have pointed for a while in such a direction, arguing, for example, that in all societies, rituals are “always concerned with movement, directionality and spatial orientation” (Parkin 1992, 16).

Scolieri’s book is a major contribution to the ethno-historical study of dance and of the early contact and colonization of the Americas, as well as the fields of dance and performance studies. If in paperback, it is strongly recommended for graduate courses and possibly high-level undergraduate ones in those fields. The high-quality reproduction of images certainly makes the book all the more instructive and attractive. It is also recommended for a general public interested in early contact in the Americas and on the importance of dance in history and society.

Zoila S. Mendoza

University of California, Davis

Works Cited

- Ares Queija, Berta. 1984. “Las danzas de los Indios: Un camino para la evangelización del virreynato del Perú.” *Revista de Indias* 44(174): 445–63.
- Baker, Geoffrey. 2008. *Imposing Harmony: Music and Society in Colonial Cuzco*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Estenssoro, Juan Carlos. 2003. *Del paganismo a la Santidad: La incorporación de los indios del Perú al Catolicismo*. Lima, Peru: Fondo Editorial de la Pontificia Universidad Católica e Instituto Francés de Estudios Andinos.
- Parkin, David. 1992. “Ritual as Spatial Direction and Bodily Division.” In *Understanding Rituals*, edited by Daniel de Coppet. London: Routledge.
- Poole, Debora. 1990. “Accommodation and Resistance in Andean Ritual Dance.” *The Drama Review* 34(2): 98–126.
- Potter, Caroline. 2008. “Sense of Motion, Senses of Self: Becoming a Dancer.” *Ethnos* 34(4): 444–65.
- Sklar, Diedre. 2007. “Unearthing Kinesthesia: Groping Among Cross-Cultural Models of the Senses in Performance.” In *The Senses in Performance*, edited by Sally Banes and André Lepecki. New York: Routledge.

Taylor, Diana. 2003. *The Archive and the Repertoire: Performing Cultural Memory in the Americas*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Aloha America: Hula Circuits Through the U.S. Empire

by Adria L. Imada. 2012. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 374 pp. text, appendices, notes, glossary, bibliography, index. \$24.95 paper. doi:10.1017/S0149767714000126

“One afternoon in 1889, eight young Hawaiian women dressed in long white holokū (gowns) and pinned up their long hair. They were to dance hula that afternoon at King David Kalākaua’s boathouse, Healani, a few blocks from the royal palace” (29). So begins the epic *mo’olelo* (story) of hula’s travels through the U.S. empire. Kini Kapahukulaokamamalu (Jennie Wilson) was one of those eight young women who danced that day. In 1892, a year after Kalākaua’s death, Kini, three other female dancers, and two male musicians, supported by an American promoter, left Hawai’i to tour North America and Europe, presenting hula in mass-entertainment venues away from the Kingdom for the first time. While on tour, the group heard about the demise of their Hawaiian Kingdom at the hands of American business barons in 1893, and several of the group were still away from Hawai’i when word arrived of the islands’ 1898 annexation to the U.S. In 1959, the Territory of Hawai’i became the fiftieth U.S. state. Throughout this political upheaval, hula tours, populated by female hula dancers like Kini, traveled across the continental United States and Europe. In *Aloha America: Hula Circuits Through the U.S. Empire*, Adria Imada examines these hula circuit performers’ instrumental roles in establishing U.S. political control over Hawai’i. In the process, she also reveals how these circuits have endured to the present day. In October 2012, for example, New York City Center’s *Fall for Dance* festival included a Honolulu hula *hālau* (hula troupe) on its eclectic dance program, and September saw the five winning Hawai’i *hālau* from the 2012 Merrie Monarch Hula Festival travel and perform with the winners of *Na Hoku*

Hanohano (Hawai’i’s Grammy Awards) to sold-out crowds in Tokyo, Japan.¹

Imada’s history, written from within American studies, fills important gaps in hula’s history. Focusing on commercial hula popularized through early twentieth-century tourism markets, Imada unearths a story of colonial encounter disguised by metaphors of Native welcome and friendship. *Aloha America* uncovers the imperialist mobilization of Hawaiian aloha through hula—glossed as “mutuality, intimacy, and hospitality” (9)—to disguise the violence imposed through U.S. empire-building in Hawai’i. “Rather than being seen as violent and aggressive, colonial encounters between Hawaiians and Americans were frequently imagined as points of intimate contact, with Hawaiians freely giving aloha to Americans, and Americans eagerly accepting these gifts of hospitality,” Imada writes (9). She argues that by providing a mask of intimacy behind which empire becomes a “way of life,” hula performers literally and performatively produced an imperial touristic script of aloha at on-island tourist performance sites and through off-island hula circuits. As Imada points out, the imperial script required hula dancers’ bodies and performances to present a nation that was foreign, but not alien: “Hula and the young women who performed this dance served as metonyms for the Hawaiian Islands, and they made the territory intelligible to Americans... The islands were not so subtly coded as sexually submissive spaces, waiting to be exploited and conquered” (180). Tracing dancers’ performances and the imperial scripts that compelled many of these performances, Imada shows how hula entertainers ultimately participated in showing once-skeptical Americans that the Territory of Hawai’i should be incorporated into the U.S. as a full-fledged state.

Imada complicates this tale of colonial encounter and imperial desire by simultaneously examining hula performers’ counter-colonial practices. How, when, and why performers participated in producing the prescribed touristic scripts (or critically failed to accurately produce such scripts) are some of the most ambitious aspects of this study. Recognizing hula as a “potent cultural and economic opportunity structure” through which women were able to “earn cultural capital and, occasionally, even a

Copyright of Dance Research Journal is the property of Cambridge University Press and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.