

Class Overview:



- Questions from Class One
- President Biden's March 8
 Executive Order
- Investigations Involving Employees
- Investigating a Formal Complaint
- Impartiality/Conflicts of Interest
- Relevance
- Violations of Other Policies

TNACUA

National Association of College and University Attorneys

Questions from Class One

Jurisdiction & Complaints

National Association of College and University Attorneys

- 1) What level of connection or control by an institution must be established before considering allegations of harassment that occurs exclusively online?
- 2) If a student were sexually assaulted in their home during a virtual lesson, would [Title IX] apply?
- 3) Would you consider a property rented for a weekend by a registered student group to be within the control of the group for that weekend and therefore within Title IX jurisdiction?
- 4) Besides a signed statement, what are other ways that the statement can be "attributed" to the complainant?
- 5) If there are multiple complaints against a respondent by different individuals can those complaints be consolidated against the respondent? If yes, how does that work during the investigation/ hearing process?

Questions from Class One (cont'd)

Actual Knowledge & Notice of Allegations

- 1) To be clear, actual knowledge (when a report is shared with an OWA) puts the school on notice, but does not require the complainant to file/sign a formal complaint? The school is on notice (and needs to offer supportive measures and take all other steps required by law) but it's possible the complainant may NOT want to file a formal complaint (even after they've made a disclosure/report) and they have the right not to?
- 2) Could someone please touch on the interaction between Title IX and law enforcement? I just received an anonymous report of students filming sexual intercourse with other students and sending the videos around. It seems to me that law enforcement's ability to subpoen a phone records etc. could lead to a much more thorough investigation. Please know I'm not suggesting we ignore Title IX policy and procedure. Just curious about timing/best practice.
- 3) What level of detail about the allegations is needed in the notice? (E.g., is it sufficient to provide notice of a report of "sexual harassment by respondent against complainant on X date at X location?" Or, do you think details about the reported sexual harassment must be included?)

TNACUA

TNACUA















Title VII Requirements



Standards

- Submission becomes a term or condition
- Unreasonably interferes with work performance or creates a hostile environment
- Employer knew or should have known
- Immediate and appropriate corrective action
 - End the harassment and prevent recurrence

Special Considerations

- Collective bargaining rights
- Administrative leave

National Association of College and University Attorneys

 "Reasonably prompt timelines" (Title IX) vs.

"Immediate and appropriate corrective action" (Title VII)



TNACUA

TNACUA







Consider in advance whether interviews will be:

- Recorded or not recorded.
- Followed with written statements or summaries.
- In interviewing, the investigator must:
 - Be prepared.
 - Be objective and unbiased, free from stereotypes.
 - Be free of conflict of interest.
 - Avoid any prejudging of the parties or responsibility.
 - Demonstrate respect.
 - Take the lead in seeking evidence (inculpatory and exculpatory) it is not the parties' responsibility to investigate.
 - Be alert to non-verbal communications.



















Admission vs. Weight, Credibility, or Persuasiveness

National Association of College and University Attorneys

- There is a difference between the admission of relevant evidence, and the weight, credibility, or persuasiveness of particular evidence.
- Because § 106.45 does not address how relevant evidence must be evaluated for weight or credibility by a decision-maker, an IHE can adopt and apply its own rules so long as:
 - The rules do not conflict with § 106.45; and
 - The rules apply equally to both parties.
- · For example:
 - An IHE may, e.g., adopt a rule regarding the weight or credibility (but not the admissibility) that a decision-maker should assign to evidence of a party's prior bad acts, so long as its rule applies equally to the prior bad acts of complainants and the prior bad acts of respondents.
- <u>REMEMBER</u>: An IHE's investigators and decision-makers must be trained specifically with respect to "issues of relevance" and any relevance rules adopted by the IHE should be addressed in the IHE's publicly available training materials.

23

TNACUA



Defining Relevance in Policy



- September 4, 2020 Guidance
- "An IHE may not adopt rules <u>excluding</u> certain types of relevant evidence (e.g., lie detector test results, or rape kits) where the type of evidence is not either deemed "not relevant" (as is, for instance, evidence concerning a complainant's prior sexual history) or otherwise barred from use under § 106.45 (as is, for instance, information protected by a legally recognized privilege)."
- Hmmm ... let's break it down.











Note

The content of this presentation is to provide news and information on legal issues and all content is provided for informational purposes only and should not be considered legal advice.

The transmission of information in this presentation does not establish an attorney-client relationship with the recipient. The recipient should not act on the information contained in this presentation without first consulting retained legal counsel.

If you desire legal advice for a particular situation, you should consult an attorney.

TNACUA

National Association of College and University Attorneys